Table of contents Evaluation questionnaires

Original or research articles	<u>2</u>
Clinical case reports	4
Contributions	6
Letters to the director	8

EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIREOriginal or research articles

Manuscript	Deadline:
Title	

	Fully	Quite a lot	Little	Not at all	See observation
Is the paper's topic within the scope of the journal?					
Does the paper provide something novel?					
Are the presentation, organization and length of the paper correct?					
Does the title clearly and sufficiently reflect the content?					
Is the abstract appropriately structured?					
Does the abstract clearly and sufficiently reflect the content?					
Does the introduction clarify the state of the issue?					
Are the keywords included in the MeSH?					
Are the aims clearly defined?					
Are the aims reasonable and appropriate?					
Are the methods suitable to attain the aims?					
Are the methods correctly reported?					
Is the study design acceptable and ethical?					
Are the results correctly reported?					
Are the tables and figures appropriate and necessary?					
Do the tables and figures suitably explain their content?					
Is the statistical analysis correct?					
Is the discussion acceptable?					
Can the conclusions be drawn from the results?					
Do the conclusions respond to the aims?					
Is there compliance with the journal's bibliographical citation					
rules?					
Is the bibliography appropriate?					
Are the references sufficiently up to date?					

This manuscript can be published:	The manuscript's scientific validity is:	Its relevance for pharmaceutical treatment is:		
a) without review	a) significant	a) significant		
b) with minor changes	b) quite significant	b) quite significant		
c) with major changes	c) average	c) average		
d) should be rejected	d) scarce	d) scarce		

	Not to be sent to the authors
Reviewed by	
Signature	

Is the paper's topic within the scope of the jour	mal?
	11411
Does the paper provide something novel?	
Are the presentation, organization and length of	of the naner correct?
Does the title clearly and sufficiently reflect the	e content?
Is the abstract appropriately structured?	
Does the abstract clearly and sufficiently reflec	et the content?
Does the introduction clarify the state of the iss	sue?
Are the keywords included in the MeSH?	
Are the aims clearly defined?	
Are the aims reasonable and appropriate?	
Are the methods suitable to attain the aims?	
A	
Are the methods correctly reported?	
Is the study design acceptable and ethical?	
Are the results correctly reported?	
Are the results correctly reported:	
Are the tables and figures appropriate and nece	essary?
Do the tables and figures suitably explain their	content?
	content.
Is the statistical analysis correct?	
Is the discussion acceptable?	
Can the conclusions be drawn from the results?	?
Do the conclusions respond to the aims?	
T. d	1: 1:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::
Is there compliance with the journal's bibliogra	aphical citation rules?
Is the bibliography appropriate?	
Are the references sufficiently up to date?	
Are the references sufficiently up to date?	
Reviewer's Comments:	
1.	

EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIREClinical case reports

Manuscript	Deadline:
Title	

	Totalmente	Bastante	Росо	Nada	Ver coment.
Is the paper's topic within the scope of the journal?					
Does the case study reported occur in the field of community pharmacy?					
Is the case study of sufficient interest? (Rare situation or intervention, special complexity, unexpected result, etc.)					
Does the case study provide something novel?					
Does the title clearly and sufficiently reflect the content?					
Is the abstract appropriately structured?					
Does the abstract clearly and sufficiently reflect the content?					
Are the presentation, organization and length of the paper correct?					
Does the case presentation/Background explain the initial situation well?					
Are the study and evaluation correct?					
Is the procedure correct and in accordance with the law?					
Is the follow-up sufficiently documented?					
Is the result sufficiently documented?					
Are the final observation/conclusions coherent with the case study's development?					
Are the keywords included in the MeSH?					
Are the tables and figures appropriate and necessary?					
Do the tables and figures suitably explain their content?					
Is there compliance with the journal's bibliographical citation rules?					
Is the bibliography appropriate?					
Are the references sufficiently up to date?					

This manuscript can be published:	The manuscript's scientific validity is:	Its relevance for pharmaceutical treatment is:	
a) without review	a) significant	a) significant	
b) with minor changes	b) quite significant	b) quite significant	
c) with major changes	c) average	c) average	
d) should be rejected	d) scarce	d) scarce	

	Not to be sent to the authors
Reviewed by	
Signature	

Is the paper's topic within the scope of the journal? Does the case study reported occur in the field of community pharmacy? Is the case study of sufficient interest? (Rare situation or intervention, special complexity, unexpected result, etc.) Does the case study provide something novel? Does the title clearly and sufficiently reflect the content? Is the abstract appropriately structured? Does the abstract clearly and sufficiently reflect the content? Are the presentation, organization and length of the paper correct? Does the case presentation/Background explain the initial situation well? Are the study and evaluation correct? Is the procedure correct and in accordance with the law? Is the follow-up sufficiently documented? Is the result sufficiently documented? Are the final observation/conclusions coherent with the case study's development? Are the keywords included in the MeSH? Are the tables and figures appropriate and necessary? Do the tables and figures suitably explain their content? Is there compliance with the journal's bibliographical citation rules? Is the bibliography appropriate? Are the references sufficiently up to date?

Reviewer's Comments:

1

EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE Contributions

Manuscript	Deadline:
Title	

	Fotalmente	Bastante	Росо	Nada	Ver coment.
Is the paper's topic within the scope of the journal?					
Does the paper provide novel concepts or a point of view?					
Are the presentation, organization and length of the paper correct?					
Does the title clearly and sufficiently reflect the content?					
Does the abstract clearly and sufficiently reflect the content?					
Are the keywords included in the MeSH?					
What is set out are the author's opinions but based on sufficient documentation to justify them	C				
Is the procedure correct and in accordance with the law?					
Are the tables and figures appropriate and necessary?					
Do the tables and figures suitably explain their content?					
Is there compliance with the journal's bibliographical citation					
rules?	,				
Is the bibliography appropriate?					
Are the references sufficiently up to date?					

This manuscript can be published:	The manuscript's scientific validity is:	Its relevance for pharmaceutical treatment is:
a) without review	a) significant	a) significant
b) with minor changes	b) quite significant	b) quite significant
c) with major changes	c) average	c) average
d) should be rejected	d) scarce	d) scarce

Not to be sent to the authors		
Reviewed by		
Signature		

Is the paper's topic within the scope of the journal?

Does the paper provide novel concepts or a point of view?

Are the presentation, organization and length of the paper correct?

Does the title clearly and sufficiently reflect the content?

Does the abstract clearly and sufficiently reflect the content?

Are the keywords included in the MeSH?

What is set out are the author's opinions but based on sufficient documentation to justify them

Is the procedure correct and in accordance with the law?

Are the tables and figures appropriate and necessary?

Do the tables and figures suitably explain their content?

Is there compliance with the journal's bibliographical citation rules?

Is the bibliography appropriate?

Are the references sufficiently up to date?

Reviewer's Comments:

1.

EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRELetters to the editor

Manuscript	Deadline:					
Title						
		Totalmente	Bastante	Poco	Nada	Ver coment.
	er any paper published in S COMUNITARIOS? Or failing this, d rest to the journal?	oes it				
Answer politely and						
Are the presentation	, organization and length of the letter co	orrect?				
Does the title clearly	and sufficiently reflect the content?					
The procedure is the documentation to su	author's opinions, but are based on suf	ficient	C			
	ect and in accordance with the law?					
Are the tables and f	gures appropriate and necessary?					
Do the tables and fig	gures suitably explain their content?					
Are the journal's bib	liographic citation standards complied	with?				
Is the bibliography	ppropriate?					
Are the references s	ufficiently up to date?					

This manuscript can be published:	The manuscript's scientific validity is:	Its relevance for pharmaceutical treatment is:	
a) without review	a) significant	a) significant	
b) with minor changes	b) quite significant	b) quite significant	
c) with major changes	c) average	c) average	
d) should be rejected	d) scarce	d) scarce	

Not to be sent to the authors		
Reviewed by		
Signature		

Does the letter answer any paper published in FARMACÉUTICOS COMUNITARIOS? Or failing this, does it tackle a topic of interest to the journal?

Answer politely and respectfully

Are the presentation, organization and length of the letter correct?

Does the title clearly and sufficiently reflect the content?

The procedure is the author's opinions but are based on sufficient documentation to support them.

Is the procedure correct and in accordance with the law?

Are the tables and figures appropriate and necessary?

Do the tables and figures suitably explain their content?

Are the journal's bibliographic citation standards complied with?

Is the bibliography appropriate?

Are the references sufficiently up to date?

Reviewer's Comments:

1.